

Credit Opinion: Cape Town, City of

Global Credit Research - 12 Feb 2016

South Africa

Ratings

Category	Moody's Rating
Outlook	Negative
NSR Issuer Rating	A1.za
NSR Senior Unsecured	A1.za
NSR ST Issuer Rating	P-1.za

Contacts

Analyst	Phone
Daniel Mazibuko/Johannesburg	27.11.217.5470
Sebastien Hay/Madrid	34.91.768.8200
David Rubinoff/Moody's Investors Service EMEA LTD	44.20.7772.5454

Key Indicators

Cape Town, City of

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Net direct and indirect debt/Operating revenue (%)	26.9	22.5	27.0	20.7	16.9
Interest Payments/Operating Revenue (%)	3.7	3.2	3.0	3.1	2.7
Gross Operating Balance/Operating Revenue (%)	9.3	7.7	6.7	5.1	13.1
Cash Financing Surplus (Requirement)/Total Revenue (%)	5.0	4.2	-3.2	-7.1	4.7
Intergovernmental Transfer/Operating Revenue (%)	7.2	7.6	8.3	9.1	11.0
Real GDP (% change) [1]	3.6	3.2	2.3	-	-
GDP per capita as % of National Average	125.9	124.3	122.1	-	-

[1] GDP at provincial level.

Opinion

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The City of Cape Town's A1.za/P-1.za national scale issuer and debt ratings reflect the city's good budgetary performance, strong liquidity position and moderate debt levels. The financial position is supported by the administration's prudent financial policies and its relatively large and diversified economic base. The rating is constrained by its sizable capital expenditure requirements, which will moderately increase the city's debt levels in the medium term.

On 18 December 2015, Moody's Investors Service changed the outlook of the City of Cape Town to negative from stable and affirmed the A1.za/P-1.za national scale issuer rating. This action was prompted by the deterioration of South Africa's credit profile as captured by Moody's outlook change to negative from stable of South Africa's Baa2 government bond rating on 15 December 2015.

National Peer Comparison

The City of Cape Town is rated at the high end of the range of South African municipalities rated by Moody's, whose ratings span from A1.za to Baa3.za. Cape Town's relative position reflects debt levels that are lower than the median of other metropolitan cities. Cape Town compares favourably with the other metros in South Africa in terms of budgetary performance and management, and displays robust cash holdings.

Credit Strengths

- Strong financial position, including robust liquidity
- Prudent financial management
- Moderate debt relative to budget volumes
- Large and diversified economic base

Credit Challenges

- Sizeable capital expenditure programme, which will increase financial leverage

Rating Outlook

The outlook on Cape Town's ratings is negative in line with the Government of South Africa's ratings (Baa2/Negative).

What Could Change the Rating - Up

An upgrade of Cape Town's ratings will likely require an upgrade of the sovereign rating.

What Could Change the Rating - Down

A downgrade of the sovereign rating could likely lead to a downgrade of Cape Town's ratings. Furthermore, Cape Town's ratings would come under pressure in the event of deterioration in fiscal discipline, resulting in the weakening of its liquidity position and/or a substantial increase in its financial leverage.

Recent Developments

The weakening of South Africa's credit profile, as captured by the outlook change of the South African sovereign bond rating to negative from stable on 15 December 2015, prompted a similar change to the outlook of 10 regional and local governments and two government related issuers, including that of the City of Cape Town. The deterioration in South Africa's sovereign creditworthiness indicates a weakening operating environment for sub-sovereign issuers. The centralised nature of South Africa's local public sector results in close operational and financial links between the national government, large cities and medium-sized municipalities, whose budgetary structure and relative size expose them to the country's macroeconomic performance and socio-economic conditions to varying degrees. Small municipalities are highly reliant on government transfers for operating and capital investments.

DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS

Cape Town's A1.za rating combines (1) the entity's baseline credit assessment (BCA) of baa2, and (2) a moderate likelihood of extraordinary national government support if the City faced acute liquidity stress.

Baseline Credit Assessment

STRONG FINANCIAL POSITION, INCLUDING ROBUST LIQUIDITY

As at 30 June 2015 FY, City of Cape Town's total revenue of ZAR32 billion (US\$2.4 billion) places it second as the largest cities in South Africa. The city continues to display its strong revenue generation capabilities as it generated 88% of its operating revenues from own sources. Property taxes and service charges dominate its revenue structure and constituted 73% of operating revenue, helping the city's operating revenues to record an average growth rate of 12% over the past five years. As a result of strong growth in key revenue drivers which include operating transfers, the city recorded an improved gross operating balance of 13% in 2015 from 5% 2014.

Like other metropolitan municipalities, Cape Town has broad operating- and capital-related expenditure

responsibilities, most of which are essential yet expensive services, which reduces its overall expenditure flexibility. On the operational expenditure side, fixed items such as bulk purchases and employee costs contributed 60% of operating expenditures in 2015 FY thus offer limited expenditure flexibility.

Strong cash flows enabled the city to finance its capital infrastructure without taking new debt in the past two years. Its liquidity ratio improved to 1.1x in 2015 from 1.0x in 2014, which remains favourable relative to other rated metropolitan municipalities in the country. The strong cash flows is largely underpinned by the city's consistently high revenue collection rates of above 95% recorded in both financial years 2014 and 2015.

PRUDENT FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

The administration regularly monitors its budget execution and cash flows and is committed to reinforcing internal controls. As in previous financial years, the city received an unqualified audit report for the financial year ending 30 June 2015. The city also maintained a clean audit with respect to last year's financial performance. Notwithstanding the city's plan to maintain high capital expenditures, which will increase its financial leverage in the medium term, the city follows prudent financial, debt and liquidity management policies.

MODERATE DEBT RELATIVE TO BUDGET VOLUMES

The city did not issue any new debt in the 2015 financial year and its net direct debt declined to 17% of operating revenues from 21% in the previous year. Its robust cash flows enabled the city to post a much improved cash financing surplus of 4.7% from a deficit of 7% the previous year. The city funded its entire capital expenditure for 2015 from transfers and own funds. Cape Town's debt stock comprises of domestic bonds (63%) and bank loans (37%), all denominated in domestic currency and at fixed rates. The average maturity ratio is around 9.7 years and the bulk of the city's debt is financed through bonds which are secured with sinking funds' investments, estimated at ZAR1.8 billion in FY2015 (this amount is netted off Moody's debt ratios in FY2015). Cape Town's interest payments, which absorbed a moderate 2.7% of operating revenues in FY2015, are projected to grow gradually in the medium term, in tandem with the increasing debt.

LARGE AND DIVERSIFIED ECONOMIC BASE

Cape Town is the second-largest city in South Africa in terms of budget size. It is the capital city of the Western Cape Province, the second-wealthiest province in South Africa after Gauteng, as reflected by higher-than-average levels of income per capita. With around 3.7 million inhabitants, Cape Town makes up roughly 64% of the total provincial population and is the main driver of the Western Cape's economic activity. The local economy is relatively diversified, with manufacturing and various business activities in the tertiary sector, such as finance, insurance and retail, contributing significantly to the GDP of the region. Cape Town drives the provincial economy and consequently its contribution to national economic output is significant. In addition to financial services, port activities and tourism contribute meaningfully to the local output. Situated in one of the world's busiest trade routes, the Port of Cape Town is one of the largest deep-water harbours in Africa and also contributes to the local economy. Tourism is regarded as a major growth sector as far as investment, employment and the diversification of services are concerned.

SIZEABLE CAPITAL EXPENDITURE PROGRAMME WILL INCREASE FINANCIAL LEVERAGE

Going forward, the city plans to spend about ZAR16.9 billion on capital infrastructure from 2016-18 financial year. This move will lead to the city's net direct debt to increase to 24% of operating revenues by 2018. The planned capital expenditure program will be relatively diversified. New borrowing and capital transfers from government will contribute 43% and 42% respectively while own funds and public contributions will constitute only 15%. Whilst Cape Town's debt exposure does not present a significant credit risk, Moody's will continue to monitor the extent to which the expansive medium term capital programme affects the city's ability to generate adequate cash flow surpluses.

Extraordinary Support Considerations

Moody's assigns a moderate likelihood of extraordinary support from the national government, reflecting, at the jurisdictional level, Moody's assessment of the national government's policy stance of promoting greater accountability and financial sustainability for South African municipalities. Reputation risk for the central government is deemed modest, mainly in view of the predominance of bank loans instead of bonds. Although the new legal framework regulates the recovery of municipalities experiencing financial difficulties, it does not suggest timely extraordinary bail-out actions to avoid defaults on debt obligations. However, Moody's recognises some interest of the government in addressing major financial problems that could be experienced by the metropolitan

municipalities, in view of their relative importance countrywide.

Output of the Baseline Credit Assessment Scorecard

In the case of Cape Town, the BCA matrix generates an estimated BCA of baa3, close to the BCA of baa2 assigned by the rating committee.

The matrix-generated BCA of baa3 reflects (1) an idiosyncratic risk score of 2 (presented below) on a 1 to 9 scale, where 1 represents the strongest relative credit quality and 9 the weakest; and (2) a systemic risk score of Baa2, as reflected in the sovereign bond rating (Baa2 negative).

The idiosyncratic risk scorecard and BCA matrix, which generate estimated baseline credit assessments from a set of qualitative and quantitative credit metrics, are tools used by the rating committee in assessing regional and local government credit quality. The credit metrics captured by these tools provide a good statistical gauge of stand-alone credit strength and, in general, higher ratings can be expected among issuers with the highest scorecard-estimated BCAs. Nevertheless, the scorecard-estimated BCAs do not substitute for rating committee judgments regarding individual baseline credit assessments, nor is the scorecard a matrix for automatically assigning or changing these assessments. Scorecard results have limitations in that they are backward-looking, using historical data, while the assessments are forward-looking opinions of credit strength. Concomitantly, the limited number of variables included in these tools cannot fully capture the breadth and depth of our credit analysis.

ABOUT MOODY'S SUB-SOVEREIGN RATINGS

National and Global Scale Ratings

Moody's National Scale Credit Ratings (NSRs) are intended as relative measures of creditworthiness among debt issues and issuers within a country, enabling market participants to better differentiate relative risks. NSRs differ from Moody's global scale credit ratings in that they are not globally comparable with the full universe of Moody's rated entities, but only with NSRs for other rated debt issues and issuers within the same country. NSRs are designated by a ".nn" country modifier signifying the relevant country, as in ".za" for South Africa. For further information on Moody's approach to national scale credit ratings, please refer to Moody's Credit rating Methodology published in June 2014 entitled "Mapping Moody's National Scale Ratings to Global Scale Ratings".

The Moody's Global Scale rating for issuers and issues allows investors to compare the issuer's/issue's creditworthiness to all others in the world, rather than merely in one country. It incorporates all risks relating to that country, including the potential volatility of the national economy.

Baseline Credit Assessment

(BCAs) are opinions of entity's standalone intrinsic strength, absent any extraordinary support from a government. Contractual relationships and any expected ongoing annual subsidies from the government are incorporated in BCAs and, therefore, are considered intrinsic to an issuer's standalone financial strength.

BCAs are expressed on a lower-case alpha-numeric scale that corresponds to the alpha-numeric ratings of the global long-term rating scale.

Extraordinary Support

Extraordinary support is defined as action taken by a supporting government to prevent a default by a regional or local government (RLG) and could take different forms, ranging from a formal guarantee to direct cash infusions to facilitating negotiations with lenders to enhance access to needed financing. Extraordinary support is described as either low (0% - 30%), moderate (31% - 50%), strong (51% - 70%), high (71% - 90%) or very high (91% - 100%).

Rating Factors

Cape Town, City of

Baseline Credit Assessment	Score	Value	Sub-factor Weighting	Sub-factor Total	Factor Weighting	Total
Scorecard						
Factor 1: Economic Fundamentals						

Economic strength	1	122.04	70%	1	20%	0.20
Economic volatility	1		30%			
Factor 2: Institutional Framework						
Legislative background	5		50%	5	20%	1.00
Financial flexibility	5		50%			
Factor 3: Financial Performance and Debt Profile						
Gross operating balance / operating revenues (%)	3	5.94	12.5%	1.75	30%	0.53
Interest payments / operating revenues (%)	5	3.07	12.5%			
Liquidity	1		25%			
Net direct and indirect debt / operating revenues (%)	1	20.70	25%			
Short-term direct debt / total direct debt (%)	1	5.20	25%			
Factor 4: Governance and Management - MAX						
Risk controls and financial management	1			1	30%	0.30
Investment and debt management	1					
Transparency and disclosure	1					
Idiosyncratic Risk Assessment						2.03(2)
Systemic Risk Assessment						Baa2
Suggested BCA						baa3

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on <http://www.moodys.com> for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.



© 2016 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR

RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third- party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody's.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S

credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000. MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.